Growth of three species of Paulownia in the Ecuadorian Littoral

Crecimiento de tres especies de Paulownia en el Litoral ecuatoriano

Franklin Sigcha¹; Javier Garófalo¹, *; Diego Campaña¹; Bernardo Navarrete²; Ricardo Limongi³

1 Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIAP), Estación Experimental Santa Catalina, Panamericana Sur km 1, Cutuglagua, Mejía, Pichincha, Ecuador.
2 Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIAP), Estación Experimental Portoviejo, km 12 Vía Santa Ana, Portoviejo, Manabí, Ecuador.
3 Colegio de Ingenieros Agrónomos de Manabí (CIAM). Avenida Manabí y Granda Centeno. Portoviejo, Manabí, Ecuador.

*Autor corresponsal: jaalgaso@yahoo.com (J. Garófalo).

ID ORCID de los autores
F. Sigcha: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7169-9301   J. Garófalo: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2037-5187
D. Campaña: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8492-0298   B. Navarrete: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9200-71191
R. Limongi: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9478-5301

ABSTRACT

In Ecuador, the reduction of forests is evident, so it is necessary to evaluate fast-growing forest species that can meet growing demands, avoiding deforestation in areas where forests of the region are still maintained. The objective was to evaluate the growth of three species of the genus Paulownia in three localities of the Ecuadorian Coast as a medium-term alternative for productive and afforestation purposes. Diameter, height and volume were evaluated in three locations with two planting frames for three Paulownia species (*Paulownia fortunei* Hemsl; *Paulownia elongata* SYHu; *Paulownia hibrido* and a control (*Gmelina arborea* Roxb) with three replications. Data were recorded in the second year of planting. The Paulownia species were surpassed by *G. arborea* in the evaluated variables. In the humid tropics localities, there was no statistical difference between Paulownia species contrasting with the dry tropics locality in which *P. eloganta* in the 3 x 3 m plantation frame that presented the best values. Paulownia species did not exceed the control. The best results were achieved in the localities of Los Ríos and Santo Domingo; however, they should not be considered an alternative for productive purposes and afforestation in the humid tropics of Ecuador.

Keywords: Paulownia fortunei Hemsl; Paulownia elongata S.Y.Hu; Paulownia hybrid; reforestation; adapted species.

RESUMEN

En Ecuador es evidente la reducción del bosque, necesitándose evaluar especies de rápido crecimiento que cumplan con la demanda y evitando la deforestación de bosques propios de la región. El objetivo fue evaluar el crecimiento de tres especies de Paulownia con fines productivos en el Litoral ecuatoriano. Diámetro, altura y volumen fueron evaluados en tres localidades con dos marcos de plantación para *Paulownia fortunei* Hemsl; *Paulownia elongata* S.Y.Hu; *Paulownia hibrido* y *Gmelina arborea* Roxb. Los resultados obtenidos muestran que las especies de Paulownia fueron superadas por *G. arborea* en las variables evaluadas. Las localidades de trópico húmedo no registraron diferencia estadística entre especies de Paulownia. En el trópico seco destacó *P. eloganta* (marco 3 x 3 m). Las especies de *Paulownia* no superaron al testigo, los mejores resultados se lograron en las localidades Los Ríos y Santo Domingo, sin embargo, no deberían considerarse como una alternativa productiva.

Palabras clave: *Paulownia fortunei* Hemsl; *Paulownia elongata* S.Y.Hu; *Paulownia híbrido*; reforestación; especies adaptadas.

F. Sigcha et al. (2022). Manglar 19(4): 365-370

366

INTRODUCTION

In Ecuador, the trend in recent years reveals a systematic reduction of forests due to irrational timber exploitation (Un-Reed (FAO), 2018). Although the deforestation rate has decreased (year 2012) compared to the years 1990 and 2000. The deforestation rate for the period 1990 - 2000 was 92.787 ha year⁻¹, while for the period 2000 - 2008 it decreased to 77.742 ha year⁻¹ and for the year 2012 it has been estimated that the deforestation rate was 65.880 ha year⁻¹ (FAO, 2014). In 1990 68% of forest area was held, but in 2018% fell to 56% (Sierra et al., 2021), Introduction and evaluation of new fast-growing forest species for timber production are alternatives to meet the objectives of the National Plan for Forestation and Productive Reforestation (Grijalva et al., 2016) and reforesting part of the 2.62 million hectares available for reforestation (Ministerio del Ambiente, 2012).

The *Paulownia* genus has multiple advantages: great adaptation to all types of soils, reduced handling, disease resistance, lower water consumption, high productivity and growth capacity, which is considered one of the highest in the plant kingdom (Corredoira et al., 2010). Additionally, it stabilizes soil erosion, produces considerable biomass, CO_2 fixation, and fodder for livestock (Agricultural Extensión Service, 2005; Knezevic et al., 2009).

This genus, native to Asia, is distributed in temperate and cold climates, growing from sea level to 2400 m.a.s.l. with rainfall between 500 and 2000 mm year⁻¹ (Lucas-Borja et al., 2011), Ecuador has these conditions in several regions. Since there is no previous study, three localities on the Ecuadorian coast were selected, and where there are already Gmelina forest exploitations, where *Paulownia* can be considered as a fast-growing alternative.

The goal of this research was to evaluate the growth of three species of the genus *Paulownia* using two planting frames in three locations in Ecuador as a medium-term alternative for productive and afforestation purposes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiment Locations
The Table 1 describes the biophysical characteristics in which the research was carried.

Biological material. Clones of Paulownia species were imported from the World Paulownia Institute (USA). The *Gmelina arborea* plants were obtained from REFOREI nursery (Esmeraldas-Ecuador).

Treatments. Six treatments were evaluated at the sites as a result of the interaction of two factors (three Paulownia species and two planting frames) plus two additional factors (local control in two planting frames) (Table 2). The experimental unit used was 25 trees and the sampling unit consisted of 16 trees.

Variables evaluated. During the second year of planting, the following variables were evaluated:
- Diameter at breast height (DBH): Measured at 1.3 m height in those individuals that reached 2.0 m, using a Haglöf tree caliper graduated in millimeters.
- Total height (TA): Considered from ground level to the apical bud, using a Sokkia telescopic height meter graduated in centimeters.

Tabla 1
Location and biophysical characteristics of the experimental sites

Parameter	Location		
	Manabí	Los Ríos	Santo Domingo
Climate zone	Tropical Dry	Tropical Humid	
Average Temperature °C	24.6	25.5	24.2
Annual rainfall (mm)	550	2223.9	3045.1
Altitude (masl)	44	75	300
Latitude	-1.161547	-1.09999999	-0.2275
Longitude	-80.38325	-79.4616667	-79.2083333
Soil texture	sandy-loam	loam	sandy-clay-loam

Table 1
Treatments

Treatment	Species	Planting frame (m)
Factorial		
T1	*Paulownia fortunei* Hemsl	
T2	*Paulownia elongata* S.Y.Hu	3 x 3
T3	*Paulownia* hybrid (*P. fortunei* × *P. elongata*)	
T4	*Paulownia fortunei* Hemsl	
T5	*Paulownia elongata* S.Y.Hu	4 x 4
T6	*Paulownia* hibrido (*P. fortunei* × *P. elongata*)	
Additions		
	Gmelina arborea	3 x 3
	Gmelina arborea	4 x 4

F. Sigcha et al. (2022). Manglar 19(4): 365-370

367

- Volume (V): It was calculated with the following formula:

$$V = \frac{DBH^2 * \pi * CH * f}{4} \qquad (1)$$

Being:

V=   Volume in cubic meters
DBH =   Diameter at breast height in meters
π =   Numerical constant (3.1416)
CH =   Commercial height in meters
 s =   Shape factor (0.7 according to Ministerial Agreement 327 of the Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock of Ecuador).

Experiment Design and Statistical Analysis. A factorial experiment plus two additional treatments were used under a Split Plot Design with three replications; the planting frame factor was placed in the large plot and the species factor in the sub-plot. The normality of the data was determined using the Shapiro-Wilks test (modified). The Fisher's LSD test at 5% was used for means comparison. The software Infostat® Professional 2020 version was used for statistical analysis.

Field experimental management. The trees were two years old at the time of evaluation. Holes of 0.4 m long x 0.4 m wide x 0.4 m deep were made in the soil for plant them. The base fertilization was 50 g of compound fertilizer 19-8-12-2 (SUMICOAT®) and 500 g of organic matter, with the addition of 5 g of moisture-retaining polymer (Hidrokeeper®). To avoid competition for nutrients and moisture, and to reduce possible pest hosts, the experiment was kept free of weeds, with manual weeding of the area around the tree stem, done every trimester.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Diameter at breast height (DBH)

There was high statistical significance for planting frame and species interaction at Portoviejo location (Dry Tropic), and no statistical significance was detected for Los Ríos and Santo Domingo (Humid Tropic) (Table 3).

In Portoviejo, four ranges of statistical significance were established for the interaction between planting frame and species (Table 3), with the first range being *P. elongata* with a planting frame of 3 x 3 m and a DBH of 5.7 cm, followed by *P. hybrid* with a planting frame of 4 x 4. In the last range is P. hybrid with a planting frame of 3 x 3 m with a DBH of 3.8 cm. The species that obtained the best results was *P. elongata* with a DBH of 5.4 cm; on the contrary, the 4 x 4 m planting frame obtained the best response with a DBH of 4.9 cm.

For Los Ríos and Santo Domingo, the species that obtained the best response were *P. hybrid* and *P. elongata* with DBH of 8.7 and 6.4 cm, respectively. Concerning the planting frame, the best response was obtained in the 3 x 3 m frame with a DBH of 8,5 cm for Los Ríos and 6.4 cm for Santo Domingo.

In the three sites, high statistical significance was detected when contrasting the Paulownia species with *G. arborea*, the latter obtaining the best DBH with ranges between 11.8 to 15.5 cm versus the Paulownia species that reached DBH of 4.7 to 8.5 cm. *G. arborea*, in the two planting frames, showed high statistical significance for Los Ríos and Portoviejo, and no significance for Santo Domingo. The best response was achieved in the 4 x 4 m planting frame, with DBH between 11.2 and 17.9 cm.

Table 3
DBH for species, planting frames and their interaction in the study sites

Factors		DBH (cm)		
		Los Ríos	**Portoviejo**	**Santo Domingo**
3x3	*P. elongata*	8,4	5.7 a	6.5
	P. fortunei	8,4	4.0 cd	6.4
	P. hybrid	8,8	3.8 d	6.4
4x4	*P. elongata*	8,9	5.1 b	6.3
	P. fortunei	8,2	4.4 c	5.2
	P. hybrid	8,5	5.3 ab	6.4
	P	0.8 n.s.	0.0003 *	0,3 n,s,
Species	*P. elongata*	8.6	5.4 a	6.4
	P. fortunei	8.3	4.2 c	6.4
	P. hybrid	8.7	4.5 b	5.8
	P	0.77 n.s.	0.0001 *	0.31 n,s,
Planting Frame	3X3	8.5	4.5 b	6.4
	4X4	8.5	4.9 a	6.0
	P	0.82 n.s.	0.0203 *	0.49 n,s,
Pawlonia vs.		8,5 b	4.7 b	6.2 b
G. arborea		15,5 a	11.8 a	11.8 a
	P	<0.0001 *	<0.0001 *	<0.0001 *
G. arborea (3x3) vs.		13,0 b	12.4 a	11.3
G. arborea 4x4		17.9 a	11.2 b	12.2
	P	<0.0001 *	<0.0001 *	0.2306 n,s,
CV %		10.6	3.6	12.6
Mean (cm)		10.3	6.5	7.6

Different letters indicate significant differences (Fisher, P < 0.05).
P: probability at P < 0.05. *: significant and n.s.: not significant.

F. Sigcha et al. (2022). Manglar 19(4): 365-370

368

Paulownia species were exceeded by G. arborea in the three locations due to its better adaptation, growth of G. arborea is close to that reported by (Ávila-Arias et al., 2014), who mention DBH values of 16 to 17 cm and TH of 7 to 10 m in the second year. In some regions of Asia, Paulownia plantations show DBH values between 5.0 to 7.9 cm per year (Baier et al., 2021). In China, a study of 2-year-old Paulownia at a spacing of 4 m, had DBH values of 2.43 to 2.67 cm on average, lower values than those obtained in the present study (Zhao et al., 2019).

Total height (TH)
There was high statistical significance for the plantation frame interaction and species in the Dry Tropic locality, and no statistical significance for the Humid Tropic localities (Table 4).
In Portoviejo, for the interaction plantation frame by species, Fisher detected four ranges of statistical significance (Table 4), with *P. fortunei* being in the first range in the frame of 3 x 3 m with a value of 5.4 m, followed by *P. elongata* in 4x4 planting frame. In the last rank, P. elongata was located in the 3 x 3 m planting frame with a height of 3.7 m. The species that obtained the best results was *P. fortunei* with a value of 4.9 m; while the planting frame of 4 x 4 m obtained the best response with a value of 4.8 m. In Los Ríos and Santo Domingo, the species that obtained the best response was *P. hybrid* with heights of 7.6 and 5.6 m respectively. Likewise, the best response was obtained in the 3 x 3 m planting frame with heights of 7.6 m in Los Ríos and 5.7 m in Santo Domingo.
When contrasting the *Paulownia* species with *G. arborea*, a high statistical significance was detected, in the three study sites, with the control obtaining

the best height with ranges between 9.8 and 12.4 m compared to the *Paulownia* species with heights between 4.6 and 7.5 m.
G. arborea, in the two planting frames, presented high statistical significance for the Portoviejo locality, and no significance for Los Ríos and Santo Domingo. In general, the best response was obtained in the 4 x 4 m planting frame, with values between 10.0 and 12.6 m. The results show that *Paulownia* was more efficient in temperate and humid climates such as Los Ríos and Santo Domingo (humid tropics), which is in agreement with responses obtained in China with values of 3 to 4 cm yr^{-1} for DBH and 3 to 4 m yr^{-1} for TH (Zhao-Hua et al., 1986). In Albania, a plantation with 1 m spacing had an average height of 4.25 m in the first year (Icka et al., 2016). In Ohio, two years old Paulownias reached an average height of 8.0 m (Williams & Wang, 2021). Meanwhile, in studies conducted in Asia, the height of Paulownia trees averages between 2.73 to 4.43 m per year (Baier et al., 2021). Similarly, in Ecuador, growth of Paulownia species is reported between 65.53 to 70.51 cm at 93 days, obtaining 5.23 to 5.64 m in two years old trees (Ramos Veintimilla et al., 2020). In an experiment conducted in China with distances of 4 meters, average heights of 9.95 to 11.06 m were obtained, results close to those obtained in Los Ríos (Zhao et al., 2019).
High statistical significance was detected in Portoviejo (Dry Tropic) and no statistical significance in Los Ríos and Santo Domingo (Humid Tropics) for the interaction between planting frame and species. In addition, at Los Ríos and Santo Domingo, statistical significance was observed for planting frame and no significance for species (Table 5).

Table 4
Total height for factors and interaction in study locations

Factors		Altura (m)		
		Los Ríos	Portoviejo	Santo Domingo
3x3	*P. elongata*	7.2	3.7 d	5.7
	P. fortunei	7.8	5.4 a	5.8
	P. hybrid	7.8	4.2 cd	5.7
4x4	*P. elongata*	7.7	5.0 ab	5.2
	P. fortunei	7.2	4.4 bc	4.6
	P. hybrid	7.4	4.8 abc	5.5
	P	0.5 n.s.	0.001*	0.3 n.s.
Species	*P. elongata*	7.4	4.3	5.5
	P. fortunei	7.5	4.9	5.2
	P. hybrid	7.6	4.5	5.6
	P	0.934 n.s.	0.072 n.s.	0.57 n.s.
Plantation frame	3X3	7.6	4.4	5.7
	4X4	7.4	4.8	5.1
	P	0.47 n.s.	0.2 n.s.	0.22 n.s.
	Pawlonia vs.	7.5 b	4.6 b	5.4 b
	G. arborea	12.4 a	9.6 a	9.8 a
	P	<0,0001 *	<0,0001 *	<0,0001 *
	G. arborea (3x3) vs.	12.2	10.4 a	9.6
	G. arborea 4x4	12.6	8.8 b	10.0
	P	0.6 n.s	<0,0001 *	0.4005 n.s.
CV %		8.2	5.9	8.8
Mean (m)		8.7	5.8	8.7

Different letters indicate significant differences (Fisher, P < 0.05).
P: probability at P<0.05. *: significant and n.s.: not significant.

F. Sigcha et al. (2022). Manglar 19(4): 365-370

369

Table 5
Total volume for factors and interaction in study locations

Factors		Volume (m³ ha⁻¹)		
		Los Ríos	Portoviejo	Santo Domingo
3x3	*P. elongata*	34.7	7.1 a	14.7
	P. fortunei	33.4	5.1 b	15.0
	P. hybrid	37.2	3.6 c	13.8
4x4	*P. elongata*	20.8	4.4 b	7.4
	P. fortunei	19.7	2.9 c	4.5
	P. hybrid	19.5	4.7 b	8.3
	P	0.9 n.s.	0.0001*	0.5 n.s.
Species	*P. elongata*	27.8	5.8 a	11.0
	P. fortunei	26.6	4.0 b	9.8
	P. hybrid	28.3	4.1b	11.0
	P	0.94 n.s.	0.0001 *	0.81 n.s.
Plantation frame	3X3	35.1	5.3 a	14.5 a
	4X4	20.0	4.0 b	6.7 b
	P	0.05 *	0.038 *	0.04 *
Pawlonia vs.		27.6 b	4.6 b	10.6 b
G. arborea		132.7 a	67.3 a	63.1 a
	P	<0,0001 *	<0,0001 *	<0,0001 *
G. arborea (3x3) vs.		126.0	96.6 a	73.6 a
G. arborea 4x4		139.3	38.1 b	52.7 b
	P	0.1 n.s.	<0,0001 *	0.0020 *
	CV %	18.7	10.1	28.5
	Promedio (cm)	53.8	20.3	23.7

Different letters indicate significant differences (Fisher, P < 0.05).
P: probability at P<0.05. *: significant and n.s.: not significant.

In Portoviejo, Fisher detected three ranges of statistical significance for the planting frame by species interaction (Table 5), with *P. elongata* in the first range in the 3 x 3 m planting frame with a volume of 7.1 m³ ha⁻¹; while in the last range were the interactions 3 x 3 m with *P. hybrid* and 4 x 4 m with *P. fortunei* with volumes of 3.6 and 2.9 m³ ha⁻¹ respectively. The species that obtained the best results was *P. elongata* with a value of 5.8 m³ ha⁻¹; while the 3 x 3 m planting frame obtained the best response with a value of 5.3 m³ ha⁻¹.

In Los Ríos and Santo Domingo, the species that obtained the best response was *P. hybrid* with values of 28.3 and 11.0 m³ ha⁻¹, respectively. Similarly, the best response was achieved in the 3 x 3 m planting frame with volumes of 35.1 m³ ha⁻¹ in Los Ríos and 14.5 m³ ha⁻¹ in Santo Domingo.

The comparison of *Paulownia* species versus *G. arborea*, in all the locations under study, showed high statistical significance, with the check treatment obtaining the best value with ranges between 63.1 and 132.7 m³ ha⁻¹ while the *Paulownia* species between 4.6 and 27.6 m³ ha⁻¹.

G. arborea, in two planting frames, showed high statistical significance in Portoviejo and Santo Domingo, and no significance in Los Ríos. In general, the best response was in the 3 x 3 m planting frame, with volumes between 73.6 and 126.0 m³ ha⁻¹.

In general, *Paulownia* species did not exceed the values achieved by the control treatment *G. arborea*, possibly due to the spacing used. The literature recommends spacings of 5 x 5 m, 6 x 5 m, and even 8 x 5 m (Rao et al., 1986; Zongran et al., 1996) .

The control treatment presented the best averages of DBH, total height, and volume. In commercial plantations *G. arborea* is managed with smaller spacing; with frames of 2.5 x 2.5 m and 3.5 x 3.5 m, compared to those required by *Paulownia* spp; in (Muñoz Flores et al., 2009).

In Mediterranean climates, annual volume yields of between 26.3 to 45.5 m3 ha⁻¹ are obtained, and are considered a viable production alternative (Fernández-Puratich et al., 2017). In this study, only the Los Ríos locality has a comparable average of 28.3 m³ ha⁻¹, however, it is still exceeded by the species used as a control in this trial.

Several studies carried out with Paulownia have significant differences in growth, due to climatic and environmental conditions (Jakubowski, 2022). Mass production is highly dependent on soil quality (Tu et al., 2017).

CONCLUSIONS

Paulownia species presented different behaviors with better responses in the humid tropic localities, possibly due to the adequate edaphoclimatic conditions for its development. Paulownia did not outperform the local control, which is adapted to the environmental conditions of each zone.

From the productive point of view, Paulownia species do not meet the expectations at the commercial level in the forest areas of the humid tropics of Ecuador.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F. Sigcha et al. (2022). Manglar 19(4): 365-370

370

REFERENCIAS BIBLIOGRÁFICAS

Agricultural Extensión Service, T. U. of T. (2005). *PB1465 Tree Crops for Marginal Farmland - Paulownia* (Vol. 05).

Ávila-Arias, C., Murillo-Cruz, R., Murillo-Gamboa, O., & Sandoval-Sandoval, C. (2014). Desarrollo juvenil de clones Gmelina arborea Roxb. de dos procedencias, en sitios planos del Pacífico Sur de Costa Rica. *Indian Medicinal Plants*, *28*, 23–35.

Baier, C., Thevs, N., Villwock, D., Emileva, B., & Fischer, S. (2021). Water productivity of Paulownia tomentosa x fortunei (Shan Tong) in a plantation at Lake Issyk-Kul, Kyrgyzstan, Central Asia. *Trees - Structure and Function*, *35*(5), 1627–1637.

Corredoira, E., Cernadas, M., & San José, M. (2010). *Inducción de yemas Adventicias en Paulownia tomentosa. Estudio anatómico del proceso caulogénico. XXIX.*

FAO. (2014). *Evaluación de los recursos forestales mundiales 2015. Informe Nacional.* http://www.fao.org/3/a-az203s.pdf

Fernández-Puratich, H., Oliver-Villanueva, J. V., Lerma-Arce, V., Garcfa, M. D., & Raigó, M. D. (2017). Estudio de Paulownia spp. como cultivo forestal de rotación corta para fines energéticos en condiciones mediterráneas. *Madera Bosques*, *23*, 15–27.

Grijalva, J., Checa, X., Ramos, R., Barrera, P., Vera, R., & Sigcha, F. (2016). Estado de los Recuros Genéticos Forestales en Ecuador. *Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias*.

Icka, P., Damo, R., & Icka, E. (2016). Paulownia Tomentosa, a Fast Growing Timber. *Annals "Valahia" University of Targoviste - Agriculture*, *10*(1), 14–19.

Jakubowski, M. (2022). Cultivation Potential and Uses of Paulownia Wood: A Review. *Forests*, *13*(5), 668.

Knezevic, M., Stankovic, D., Krstic, B., Nikolic, M. S., & Vilotic, D. (2009). Concentrations of heavy metals in soil and leaves of plant species Paulownia elongata S.Y.Hu and Paulownia fortunei Hemsl. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *8*(20), 5422-5429.

Lucas-Borja, M. E., Wic-Baena, C., Moreno, J. L., Dadi, T., García, C., & Andrés-Abellán, M. (2011). Microbial activity in soils under fast-growing Paulownia (Paulownia elongata x fortunei) plantations in Mediterranean areas. *Applied Soil Ecology*, *51*(1), 42–51.

Ministerio del Ambiente. (2012). *Línea Base de Deforestación del Ecuador Continental*. https://docplayer.es/13530509-Linea-base-de-deforestacion-del-ecuador-continental.html

Muñoz Flores, H., Coria ávalos, V., García Sánchez, J., & Balam Che, M. (2009). Evaluación de una plantación de tres especies tropicales de rápido crecimiento en Nuevo Urecho, Michoacán. *Ciencia Forestal En México*, *34*(106), 61–87.

Ramos Veintimilla, R. A., Guanga Paredes, A. S., Sigcha, F. A., & Romero Mancero, F. M. (2020). Respuesta de material genético de Paulownia spp a tratamiento silvicultural, como estrategia para evaluar su adaptabilidad a condiciones climáticas de estepa espinosa Montano Bajo, Ecuador/Response of Paulownia spp genetic material to silviculture, as a s. *KnE Engineering*, *2020*, 546–561.

Rao, N., Keng, H., Corlett, R., Dhanarajan, G., & Sastry, C. (1986). *Paulownia in China : Cultivation and Utilization*.

Sierra, R., Calva, O., & Guevara, A. (2021). La Deforestación en el Ecuador, 1990 – 2018: Factores, Promotores y Tendencias Recientes. *Ministerio de Ambiente y Agua Del Ecuador, Ministerio de Agricultura Del Ecuador, En El Marco de La Implementación Del Programa Integral Amazónico de Conservación de Bosques y Producción Sostenible. Quito, Ecuador*, 216.

Tu, J., Wang, B., McGrouther, K., Wang, H., Ma, T., Qiao, J., & Wu, L. (2017). Soil quality assessment under different Paulownia fortunei plantations in mid-subtropical China. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, *17*(9), 2371–2382.

Un-Reed (FAO). (2018). *Ecuador*. https://www.unredd.net/regions-and-countries/latin-america-and-the-caribbean/ecuador.html

Williams, R., & Wang, H. (2021). Effects of wildfire and the presence of the invasive paulownia tomentosa on the regeneration of native tree species in north-central appalachia. *Fire*, *4*(3), 60.

Zhao, Y., Qiao, J., Feng, Y., Wang, B., Duan, W., Zhou, H., Wang, W., Cui, L., & Yang, C. (2019). The optimal size of a Paulownia-crop agroforestry system for maximal economic return in North China Plain. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *269–270*(February), 1–9. h

Zongran, L., Fangdong, L., Baoping, W., Daoshun, Z., Ruijun, W., & Chunwei, Z. (1996). Study on Optimum Management Density and Crop Age of Plvwood-oriented P.elongata Plantation. *Forest Research*, *9*(3), 227–233.